

FITCH PLACES MIAMI-DADE COUNTY EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY, (FL)'S SENIOR REVS ON RATING WATCH NEGATIVE

Fitch Ratings-New York-17 April 2019: Fitch Ratings has placed the 'A' rating on Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority, (FL)'s (MDX) \$1.39 billion outstanding revenue and refunding bonds on Rating Watch Negative.

The Negative Watch reflects an uncertain political environment surrounding current state legislation to repeal MDX and create a successor agency. If created, it is expected the successor agency will assume MDX's outstanding debt obligations; however, the new agency's financial flexibility and rate-setting autonomy may be substantially altered from MDX's current form, which, if lessened, could result in a 1-2 notch downgrade. Even without the repeal of MDX and the creation of a new agency, the concurrent House and Senate legislation as well as the recent rate reduction initiatives already indicate an unprecedented level of political intervention in MDX's ratemaking policies, potentially jeopardizing MDX's future autonomy.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The 'A' rating reflects the essentiality of the MDX system to commuters in the Miami area, coupled with a demonstrated logistical proficiency with regards to managing system assets. Further, MDX exhibits effectiveness in executing expansion and maintenance-oriented capital planning while continuing an observed history of robust operating and financial performance. The system's recent implementation of the Open Road Tolling (ORT) system has expanded tolling and strengthened the system's financial profile, resulting in higher coverage and escalated deleveraging.

Stable Commuter Base With Strategic Importance: Revenue Risk: Volume - Stronger

The MDX system has a mature traffic profile with steady annual increases in toll transactions. Revenues are derived from a robust system of assets that provide critical links within the Miami-Dade transportation network. The availability of limited alternative routes ensures the importance of the system to the region. While the system has recently experienced large year-over-year increases in transactions due to the implementation of ORT on all expressways, growth is projected to level off in forthcoming years.

Moderate Price Flexibility: Revenue Risk: Price - Revised to Weaker from Midrange

Considerable legislative and political interference with MDX's rate-setting autonomy supports the revision to Weaker. The 2017 and 2018 state legislation resulted in the MDX board passing a motion to implement a system wide 6% toll rate reduction. Additionally, the board of directors repealed its Toll Rate Policy that required the board to review its toll rates every two years to determine if a CPI adjustment was needed. State involvement in MDX's rate setting process signifies a fundamental policy shift that causes uncertainty regarding future independent rate-setting ability both under MDX or a successor agency.

Good Physical Condition of Assets: Infrastructure & Renewal Risk - Stronger

MDX has maintained the system and its facilities in satisfactory operational conditions and maintains a robust roadway inspection schedule, above that required by the Florida Department of Transportation. The system's ongoing maintenance could potentially be affected by various pieces of state legislation, be it dissolving the Authority or maintaining MDX and requiring a portion of surplus revenues be allocated to other Miami-Dade county projects before replenishing MDX's own renewal and replacement reserves.

Some Exposure to Variable-Rate Debt: Debt Structure - Stronger

MDX's debt portfolio is mostly fixed rate with only 5% variable rate debt, the majority of which is hedged. The overall debt service profile is moderately escalating and the debt service reserve is cash funded at maximum annual debt service (MADS).

Peer Group

Central Florida Expressway Authority (CFA), rated 'A'/Outlook Stable is a comparable peer to MDX in terms of a large expressway system with a politically sensitive pricing environment, but MDX has a stronger volume profile. Debt service coverage ratios and leverage are comparable over the medium term.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action:

- Legislation that creates a successor agency to MDX that materially negatively impacts cash flows supporting outstanding bonds;
- An unclear long-term toll policy for MDX or limited ability to implement rate increases;
- Transferring meaningful surplus cash for non-project county uses that limit economic rate setting ability and limit investment in system assets on a timely basis;
- Demonstrated lack of legal independent rate setting authority;
- Underperformance of traffic and revenue with an unwillingness or inability to adjust tolls accordingly, resulting in the erosion of the debt service coverage ratio below 1.6x for a sustained period;
- The addition of obligations that increase leverage above 8.0x.

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action:

- Revenue growth outpacing the sponsor's projections in an environment reflecting stable operations and limited additional capital expansion, leading to debt service coverage above 1.8x on a sustained basis.

CREDIT UPDATE

MDX's Outlook was revised to Negative last July (2018) reflecting the unprecedented intervention taken by the Florida State Legislature usurping local autonomy in order to lower MDX toll rates and divert surplus revenues to other Miami-Dade County project obligations. The Negative Outlook also reflected the uncertainty surrounding the long term impact the State's intervention may have on MDX's ability to allocate funds for capital expenditures in future years and issue additional debt. In addition, the Negative Outlook further encompassed uncertainty of future legislative actions that could affect MDX's independent rate making flexibility.

The tension between MDX and the Florida government around MDX's toll rates has continued to escalate since Fitch's last review, resulting in a House Bill (HB 385) and a Senate Bill (SB 898) both being presented to dissolve MDX and create a successor agency. Additionally, MDX has filed litigation against the state claiming previous 2017 and 2018 legislation is unconstitutional. HB 385 will be going to a floor vote within a week. The state's legislative session ends May 3, 2019.

If HB 385 or SB 898 moves forward, the successor agency will assume all assets and operations of MDX, along with its outstanding debt obligations. Primary changes between MDX and the agency include the composition of its governing body, an immediate 25% toll reduction to all SunPass holders that reside in the county, and a moratorium on toll rate increases for the next 10 years unless a rate increase is needed to comply with the bond rate covenant. Should either piece of legislation move forward, the creation of the successor agency could have a material negative impact to the cash flows and financial metrics supporting the outstanding MDX bonds, along with limiting investments in system assets on a timely basis.

Asset Description

MDX was formed in 1994 and is a public instrumentality and agency of the State of Florida. MDX is responsible for operating, maintaining and improving an expressway system that currently includes the Airport Expressway (SR-112), the East-West (Dolphin) Expressway (SR-836), the South Dade (Don Shula) Expressway (SR-874), the Gratigny Parkway (SR-924) and the Snapper Creek Expressway (SR-878).

Contact:

Primary Analyst

Stacey Mawson Director

+1-212-908-0678

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

33 Whitehall Street

New York, NY 1004

Secondary Analyst

Meredith Feld-Tabouret

Director

+1-646-582-4563

Committee Chairperson

Emma Griffith

Senior Director

+1-212-908-1124

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212 908 0278, Email: sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Rating Criteria for Infrastructure and Project Finance (pub. 27 Jul 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10038532>

Toll Roads, Bridges and Tunnels Rating Criteria (pub. 30 Jul 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10038900>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and

reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.